UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,038	10/31/2000	Tony M. Brewer	59182-P004US-10020641	8896
29053 7590 01/25/2007 DALLAS OFFICE OF FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 2200 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 2800 DALLAS, TX 75201-2784			EXAMINER	
			SAM, PHIRIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2616	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/703,038	BREWER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phirin Sam	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iiil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oc	ctober 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 63-125 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 63-87 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>88,90,94,95,101-106,109 and 111</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>91-93,96-99,107,108 and 110</u> is/are o	bjected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
PHIRIN SAM						
Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 09/703,038

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 88, 101-106, 109, and 111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,829,237 (hereinafter referred as "Carson").

Regarding claims 88, 101, 105, 109, and 111, Carson discloses An Internet Protocol (IP) packet router system (see Figs. 14 and 15, col. 10, lines 21-51, and col. 11, lines 4-15), said system comprising:

- (a) at least one chunk having a payload comprising a plurality of data packets and a framing symbol (see col. 1, lines 41-58);
- (b) an IP packet router (see Figs. 14 and 15, col. 1, lines 18-31), including:
- (b1) an optical switch fabric through which said chunk passes (see Figs. 7, 8, 16, col. 8, lines 29-38);
- (b2) a first electrical switch stage at an input side of said optical switch fabric and a second electrical switch stage at an output side of said switch fabric (see Figs. 7, 8, and 16, col. 8, lines 3-38, 29-38).

Regarding claims 102-104, Carson discloses the chunk header includes ID of chunk type (see col. 1, lines 41-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/703,038 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 106, Carson discloses said chunk header includes identification of an input of said optical switch fabric and an output of said optical switch fabric for said chunk (see col. 1, lines 41-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 90, 94, and 95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,829,237 (hereinafter referred as "Carson") in view of US Patent 6,633,564 (hereinafter referred as "Steer").

Regarding claim 90, Carson does not disclose framing symbol has a length of 16 bytes. However, Steer discloses framing symbol has a length of 16 bytes (see Fig. 3a, col. 8, lines 27-31). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the framing symbol teaching by Steer with Carson. The motivation for doing so

Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

would have been to provide a communication network with an efficient for interrupting the transmission read on column 2, lines 36-37. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Steer and Carson to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 90.

Regarding claim 94, Carson does not disclose FEC coding. However, Steer discloses the FEC coding (see Figs. 3a and 4, elements 31, 39, 43, 46, col. 6, lines 2-4). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the FEC coding teaching by Steer with Carson. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a communication network with an efficient for interrupting the transmission read on column 2, lines 36-37. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Steer and Carson to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 94.

Regarding claim 95, Carson does not disclose FEC field. However, Steer discloses the FEC field (see Figs. 2, 3a, and 4, element 31, col. 1, lines 30-34, and col. 8, lines 27-31). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the FEC field teaching by Steer with Carson. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a communication network with an efficient for interrupting the transmission read on column 2, lines 36-37. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Steer and Carson to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 95.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 63-87 and 112-125 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 89, 91-93, 96-100, 107, 108, and 110 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/703,038 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 88, 94, 95, 101-106, 109, and 111 have been 8.

considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The

examiner can normally be reached on a compress schedule, from 8:00-5:30, first Wed off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272 - 3134. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 21, 2007